NACAP’s third annual Figure Frenzy took place starting on December 15, 2021, with the goal of collecting a census from as many NACAP programs as possible. With of the 27 out of our 31 associated programs responding with their counts, this was the most successful Figure Frenzy yet.

How many participants are enrolled? (26 of the responding states track this data)

NACAP total: 39,822

Avg per state: 1,532

Avg in 2020: 1,513

How many state households are currently served? (23 of the responding states track this data)

NACAP total: 17,182

Avg per state: 747

Avg in 2020: 691

How many total survivors have been helped since the program began? (23 of the responding states track this data)

NACAP total: **100,403**

Avg per state: 4,365

Avg in 2020: 4,458

Some programs do not regularly collect all three pieces of information, so any lack of data was not factored into the averages.

NACAP showed increases in both Households Served and Participants Enrolled that were similar to those seen between 2019 and 2020 despite COVID causing reductions in new enrollments for many states. The Data Committee is excited to show a decrease in the average number of survivors helped per state, this can be attributed to the addition of new programs that are in their inaugural year. Such states will have lower than average numbers of participation, bringing down the overall average, but being a good omen for future years. The data committee looks forward to watching everyone’s growth!

**Food for thought**

Below are visuals demonstrating the correlation between state population size and voter turnout compared to participation. Although participation is more statistically correlated with population size of the state the program is in, it is also correlated to the voter turnout of the state.

This demonstrates the importance of PRVs among programs. Even though larger states are more apt to have higher participation in ACP and SAH programs, they have more voters, but a lower voter turnout. As shown in figure 3 and 2 respectively. Therefore, despite having fewer participants in total, smaller states have just as big of an impact on their state when it comes to voting.

Graph1: shows the correlation between state population and ACP/SAH participation. Shows an R2 value of .37 and a p value of .001

Graph2: shows the correlation between state % voter turnout and ACP/SAH participation. Shows an R2 value of .33 and a p value of .002

Graph3: shows the correlation between state voter turnout and ACP/SAH participation. Shows an R2 value of .34 and a p value of .0016